



BRYAN CAVE



Pro Bono



Review &
Recognition

2010





Bryan Cave's 2010 Pro Bono Review

Bryan Cave strongly encourages all of our lawyers to engage in *pro bono* work. *Pro bono* matters are treated with the same care as any others we take on, and the service provided to our *pro bono* clients is of the same quality delivered to all firm clients. Bryan Cave's *pro bono* priority is to offer legal services benefiting persons and organizations of limited means and to advocate for civil rights, human rights, civil liberties and public rights. Bryan Cave's work includes civil rights and public rights law, asylum and immigration cases, child abduction matters, health law, real estate issues, death penalty and other criminal cases, counseling charitable organizations, and many other areas involving the administration of justice. Referrals from local legal services agencies and work for non-profit organizations make up much of Bryan Cave's *pro bono* time.

Although the firm does not require attorneys to devote a minimum number of hours per year to *pro bono* matters, Bryan Cave strongly encourages all of its lawyers to engage in *pro bono* work. Firm wide (U.S. and international), the total number of *pro bono* hours for lawyers and non-lawyers in 2010 was 41,656. Lawyers and non-lawyers in the firm's U.S. offices accounted for 41,089 *pro bono* hours in 2010. *Pro bono* hours logged in U.S. offices by lawyers totaled 38,708 in 2010. For U.S. offices, *pro bono* hours per attorney averaged 44 in 2010.

“Bryan Cave’s vitality and reputation depend upon our tradition of excellent service to all clients, including those we represent on a pro bono basis. We have a special obligation to make our professional skills and other resources available to those who cannot afford to pay for legal services.”

- Bryan Cave Chairman
Don Lents

Overview of 2010 Pro Bono Projects

Over the past twelve months, Bryan Cave has contributed those things the firm has in abundance – legal expertise, passion, and a deep desire to help meet the needs of underserved people. While it is impossible to describe all of the varied ways in which Bryan Cave has embraced *pro bono* work, the projects outlined in this report highlight key matters to which Bryan Cave has devoted extensive time and resources.

Focus on Our Communities

We have found that the best way to practice meaningful *pro bono* work is to focus on our communities. Our *pro bono* work is tied to individual offices, where the attorneys have the ability to decide what matters most. What matters in Kansas City, for example, might not be a significant problem in New York or Atlanta. We listen to our communities – they tell us how best to improve their neighborhoods and help individuals living there.

Reasonable Expectation of Privacy

Bryan Cave submitted an *amicus* brief on behalf of the ACLU of the National Capital Area of D.C. in a Fourth Amendment case in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. The case, *U.S. v. Maynard*, presented the question whether the Fourth Amendment requires police to obtain a warrant before attaching a GPS tracking device to one's vehicle to track the vehicle for prolonged periods. The ACLU, joined by the Electronic Frontier Foundation, contended that citizens have a reasonable expectation of privacy, and that the Fourth Amendment therefore requires police to obtain a warrant for GPS tracking. The D.C. Circuit agreed. In contrast, other federal circuits have upheld the warrantless use of GPS tracking. Several state supreme courts have ruled that a warrant is required for GPS tracking. The D.C. Circuit ruling was issued on August 6, 2010; the court denied a petition for rehearing on November 19, 2010 by a 5-4 margin. The Solicitor General asked the U.S. Supreme Court to review the case. The Supreme Court heard oral argument in this case on November 8, 2011.

The outcome of this case will significantly advance this particular line of police work and will progress the continuing debate over rights to privacy in the digital age.



Indian Child Welfare Act

Bryan Cave lawyers in the Kansas City office successfully represented the Tribal Council of North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians of California in a *pro bono* matter regarding the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) and its application to the termination of the parental rights of the parents of a Native American child. The District Court of Barton County Kansas terminated parental rights of a tribe member without taking into consideration all of the applicable requirements of ICWA. After learning of the order, the tribe interceded to ensure compliance with the statute. Working with the district attorney, the firm identified a qualified ICWA expert to provide the statutorily mandated testimony and to resolve the matter in the best interest of the child. After hearing testimony from the ICWA expert, the court issued its final, ICWA-compliant order and granted permanent placement of the child in a stable environment. The order stated that the child should have contact with the tribe for continued education in tribal culture.

London Libel Case

David Osler, award-winning journalist and blogger, saw the libel case brought against him finally collapse on September 21, 2010, after political activist Johanna Kaschke failed in her bid to appeal the decision of Justice Eady to throw her case out as an abuse of process. Bryan Cave lawyers in our London office represented Osler.

The Osler case arose out of a blog post written by Kaschke in which Kaschke stated that she had been wrongly arrested in Germany in the 1970s. Osler linked to, and summarized her blog post, which caused Kaschke to sue him for libel. However, Kaschke did not issue the claim form until over a year after Osler's blog was posted on the web. Osler applied to the court to strike the claim on two grounds, namely that the claim was an abuse of process, and also that there was no evidence of any publication after the expiration of the one-year limitation period.

Bryan Cave successfully convinced the court that the claim brought by Kaschke fell within the situation envisaged in the case of *Jameel v Dow Jones* [2005] QB 946, and should be struck out as an abuse of process. Justice Eady held that there was very little difference between what the claimant had herself put into the public domain and what Osler had published on his blog. In the circumstances, damages could only ever be very minor and a trial was simply not worth the time and expense that would be incurred. On those grounds, Kaschke's claim was struck out as an abuse of process.

Furthermore, Justice Eady held that the claimant had failed to show any evidence that the posting in question was actually read by anyone after the expiry of the limitation period, and agreed with Bryan Cave's argument that, in the circumstances, the court should not infer that anyone had actually read it. Bryan Cave believes that this was the first occasion where such an argument had been employed – and we envisage it will be regularly employed in cases including archived material stored on the internet.

This case has been reported online and in print media, and provides valuable clarification to bloggers and the online media regarding the liability they face related to old postings still available in their archives.



“Bryan Cave lawyers undertook the defense of Judge Porteous in his impeachment trial before the United States Senate because there were very significant constitutional issues involved, and it is a rare opportunity to be engaged in such a momentous legal proceeding. Historically, judicial impeachment trials occur only about once every twenty years. The Bryan Cave legal team, working with co-counsel Professor Jonathan Turley, of the George Washington University Law School, had an extraordinary, informative, and exhausting six months’ experience,”

Bryan Cave Washington, D.C. Partner **Daniel Schwartz**

A team of six Bryan Cave attorneys represented federal Judge G. Thomas Porteous, Jr., in a five-day impeachment trial before a 12-member committee of the U.S. Senate, chaired by Sen. Claire McCaskill with Sen. Orrin Hatch as vice chair. It was a historic event – the last judicial impeachment trial occurred more than 20 years ago – and a major effort by the firm. Bryan Cave became involved in this project initially for the purpose of defending Judge Porteous on one of four articles of impeachment but ended up representing the judge on all four articles, which required a full defense to be prepared in a very short period of time. Two partners in Bryan Cave’s restructuring practice were responsible for testimony and arguments concerning one of the articles of impeachment, which involved allegations of misconduct by Judge Porteous in a personal bankruptcy case filed by him and his wife. The trial (after which Judge Porteous ultimately was convicted) was broadcast live on C-SPAN and has received substantial national, print and internet news attention.

Bryan Cave jumped at this *pro bono* representation, recognizing the rare and prestigious opportunity to present arguments before the U.S. Senate. The *pro bono* work performed by Bryan Cave took place over a short period of time, but was very intensive and amounted to nearly 4,000 hours. Bryan Cave worked with George Washington University Law School Professor Jonathan Turley on the case.

Impeachment Trial of Judge G. Thomas Porteous, Jr.

“I believe it is our willingness to collaborate and strategize about new and more effective ways to make a difference in our communities that truly distinguishes Bryan Cave in our pro bono commitment,”

Bryan Cave Pro bono Committee Chair Ellen Bonacorsi

Housing for Homeless Veterans

The Veterans Administration committed \$20 million in funding to renovate and rehabilitate one of three buildings on the VA's West Los Angeles campus to provide homeless veterans with housing, medical care, mental health treatment and other services. Bryan Cave attorneys worked with the mayor of Santa Monica, seeking the renovation of the buildings for use by the city's most vulnerable homeless veterans. They have consulted and advised on the implications of proposed and passed legislation, and in particular on the legislation's affect on the VA's ability to contract with homeless service providers. Bryan Cave has sought funding and long-term leasing mechanisms with the current and former secretary of the VA as well as the general counsel in addition to advising homeless services providers on funding and leasing mechanisms. The VA's action was announced on June 28, 2010. Los Angeles County has nearly 6,450 homeless veterans sleeping on the streets each night – the highest in the country.

Habeas Corpus

Bryan Cave earned a significant *pro bono* victory in July 2010 when the Supreme Court of Georgia set client Joshua Hames free after seven years in prison. Hames was wrongfully convicted of felony murder in the accidental shooting death of his brother Sam while the two were hunting on their family farm. Bryan Cave represented Hames in a *habeas* proceeding seeking his release from the custody of state of Georgia. The case was tried in the fall of 2008 with lawyers from Morris, Manning and Martin. The trial court granted the petition in 2009, but the warden, represented by the attorney general of Georgia, appealed the decision to the Georgia Supreme Court. On July 12, 2010 the Georgia Supreme Court issued its opinion affirming the order of the trial court in every respect and further ruling that double jeopardy attaches. Thus, Hames cannot be retried. Hames was released from prison the next day into the waiting arms of his family. The case has received both newspaper and television coverage in

Georgia, including the *Atlanta Journal Constitution*, *USA Today*, WXIA-TV (Atlanta's NBC affiliate) and WSB-TV (Atlanta's ABC affiliate).

Juror Misconduct

In February 2010, the Missouri Supreme Court entered a precedent setting decision in a high profile juror misconduct case which Bryan Cave took on a *pro bono* basis. After a jury trial in St. Louis County Circuit Court, a juror reported that extreme anti-Semitic statements were made by another juror in the jury room. The statements were about a witness for defendant Pepose Vision Institute, P.C. Following deliberations, the jury ruled against Pepose Vision, awarding actual and punitive damages. Bryan Cave stepped in to represent the Anti-Defamation League as *amicus curiae* in post-trial proceedings and throughout appeal to the State's highest court. The Missouri Supreme Court confronted the centuries-old Mansfield Rule that a juror's testimony about jury misconduct affecting deliberations may not be used to impeach the jury's verdict. In a matter of first impression, reversing long-standing precedent and the judgment in the case, the Court ruled that “if a juror makes statements evincing ethnic or religious bias or prejudice during jury deliberations, the parties are deprived of their right to a fair and impartial jury and equal protection of the law.”

Legal Assistance to Local Nonprofit

During 2010, Bryan Cave attorneys in the Irvine office provided legal assistance to Everybody Loves Mail (ELM). ELM provides instruction to children on drawing, letter writing and creative writing and then sends those drawings, letters and stories to people who are terminally ill, elderly or otherwise need their spirits lifted. We helped ELM incorporate and obtain tax-exempt status, developed its website terms and conditions and privacy policy, developed protocol and forms for ELM to use to ensure it obtains the

requisite intellectual property rights in the instructional and promotional materials developed for it, and developed other contracts and guidance for ELM's use in its on-going operations.

Investigation of Siegelman Conviction

On June 29, 2010, the U.S. Supreme Court vacated the 2007 convictions of former Alabama Governor Don Siegelman and former HealthSouth Corp. CEO Richard Scrushy in light of its ruling limiting the controversial honest services fraud statute. The high court said in a brief order that the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit must review its decision affirming the convictions following the *Skilling v. United States* ruling. In that decision, the high court found that the honest services fraud statute should only be applied in cases of bribery and kickbacks. Bryan Cave attorneys had written and filed an *amicus* brief on behalf of over 50 former and current Attorneys General, petitioning for *certiorari* to the Supreme Court. As noted, the court did not hear the case, but did send it back to the Eleventh Circuit for a re-hearing.

Bryan Cave's *pro bono* work on this case began in 2007 when attorneys in Bryan Cave's Santa Monica office along with former New York Attorney General Robert Abrams, led a *pro bono* campaign to have Siegelman (himself a former AG from Alabama) released from prison. A jury in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Alabama had convicted Siegelman and former HealthSouth Corp. CEO Richard Scrushy in 2006 of federal funds bribery and five counts of honest services mail fraud and conspiracy. The jury also convicted Siegelman of obstruction of justice. Prosecutors asserted that Scrushy paid Siegelman \$500,000 toward the Alabama lottery initiative in exchange for a seat on Alabama's Certificate of Need Review Board. The board has regulatory powers over health care providers such as HealthSouth Group, which Scrushy helped found in 1984. The honest services charges alleged that the two men agreed that Scrushy would use the board seat to influence the interests of HealthSouth and the company's rivals. A judge sentenced Siegelman and Scrushy to about seven years each in federal prison. Both were denied bond, but Siegelman was later released on bond pending appeal.

In 2008, the Bryan Cave team sent the United States House and Senate Judiciary Committees a petition to investigate the facts surrounding the Siegelman prosecution that was signed by 60 former state attorneys general. Later, the Bryan Cave lawyers joined with Abrams' law firm to write an *amicus*

brief on Siegelman's behalf before the Eleventh Circuit. The *amicus* was signed by nearly the same number of former state attorneys general. In March 2009, a three-judge panel of the Eleventh Circuit reversed Siegelman's conviction on two charges of honest services mail fraud, but affirmed the rest of the verdict against him. The Eleventh Circuit subsequently rejected Siegelman and Scrushy's bid for a rehearing *en banc*, triggering their request for the Supreme Court to hear their cases. In 2009, the Bryan Cave team and Abrams obtained the support of 91 former state AGs for Siegelman's *certiorari* petition. These events were covered extensively by *60 Minutes* and by *The Atlantic* magazine.

Freedom of Expression in Poland

Bryan Cave represented Krzysztof Sylka, a Polish national, before the European Court of Human Rights against the Republic of Poland concerning an alleged violation of his rights of freedom of expression.

Sylka filed a complaint with the police commander about the failure of a police officer to resolve a dispute with a neighbor. That same Polish police officer later stopped Sylka on suspicion of an unfastened seatbelt and proceeded to taunt and provoke him.

Sylka was later charged and convicted by the Polish Regional Court with insulting a police officer based on comments made at the time of his arrest in response to the officer's conduct. The police officer claimed that Sylka had denigrated him by making comments about his low intellectual level. On appeal, the Polish District Court found that the statement did not constitute an insult, but rather than overturning the conviction and clearing Sylka, it simply reduced the penalty to a symbolic one, letting the criminal conviction stand.

Bryan Cave submitted an official application for admissibility of the case (similar to a *writ of certiorari*) before the Court of Human Rights on the basis of a violation of the freedom of expression under Article 10 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms arguing that the Polish courts narrowly construed the definition of the freedom of expression in their decisions and that the Polish law was applied in an unclear, unforeseeable, and unpredictable manner and not in proportion to the legitimate aims of the law and failed to even consider whether the statement was justified. The application is still pending.

“My work with the Children’s Law Center provides me an opportunity to impact the lives of individuals in my community beyond the corporate world, specifically by rendering legal assistance to loving, caring adults who wish to become the legal guardian of children of unfit parents. The rewards I receive from a successful pro bono case are unmatched,”

Bryan Cave Phoenix Associate Kyle Hirsch

Volunteer Lawyer’s Program

Bryan Cave lawyers in the firm’s Phoenix office work closely with the Maricopa County Volunteer Lawyer’s Program (“VLP”), which provides *pro bono* legal services to qualifying county residents in a broad range of fields, including minor and incapacitated adult guardianships, adoptions, landlord-tenant issues, and consumer-related disputes. Bryan Cave has developed a particularly strong *pro bono* relationship with the Children’s Law Center of VLP, focusing on children’s and family law issues. Many Bryan Cave Phoenix lawyers have committed substantial efforts towards *pro bono* work referred by the Children’s Law Center including working on minor guardianship cases for Spanish-speaking clients, serving as court-appointed representatives in contested family law cases involving minors, and handling several incapacitated adult guardianship cases.

Victory for Islet Transplant Patient

In 2010, a team of Bryan Cave lawyers in the Chicago office achieved a victory for an individual patient seeking insurance coverage for costly immunosuppressant drugs. In a final hearing and appeal before the Central Laborers’ Pension Funds, Bryan Cave convinced the Central Laborers’ Pension Funds that it was required to extend benefits to an individual who had successfully participated in a islet cell transplant for the treatment of Type I Diabetes. The islet cell transplant was part of a FDA clinical trial conducted by the University of Illinois at Chicago. If coverage were not extended to include the immunosuppressant drugs, our client would have been forced to terminate her drug regimen and undergo a pancreas transplant.

Hot Bread Kitchen

Bryan Cave client, Hot Bread Kitchen (HBK), is a non-profit social enterprise that creates better lives for low-income women and their families by paying women while they learn the skills necessary to launch food businesses and achieve management track positions in food manufacturing. To help offset the cost of HBK’s training and to build esteem in the contribution of immigrants, they sell breads whose recipes derive from a multiplicity of ethnicities.

Since 2010 Bryan Cave’s *pro bono* work for HBK has included negotiating a lease between the City of New York and HBK for a market space in East Harlem, called La Marqueta. HBK is the anchor tenant in this market space. HBK also runs the incubator kitchen at the market, which smaller producers can rent and receive business advice through HBK. Bryan Cave lawyers have also provided counseling to HBK on a wide variety of other matters.

Family Equality Council

The Family Equality Council is a national pro bono client committed to securing family equality for lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender (LGBT) parents, guardians and allies. Bryan Cave serves as omnibus legal counsel to FEC. In our role as *pro bono* legal counsel, we provide corporate and commercial guidance and analysis of numerous areas of federal and state law that treat same sex households differently. More than 30 lawyers representing every one of the firm’s domestic offices have been involved in this representation. Our work with FEC allows the Firm to reach for challenging, cutting edge work, making prominent contributions to the marketplace of legal questions, controversies and policies, particularly centered around civil rights. Family Equality Council is the signature pro bono client of the Firm’s LGBT Affinity Group.

Bryan Cave *Pro bono* Recognition 2010

The Scott Solberg Pro bono Award 2010: Chicago Associate Carrie Byrnes was recognized by the Legal Aid Bureau and the *Pro bono* Committee of the Legal Aid Society for both her *pro bono* service and her participation on the Associate Board of the Legal Aid Bureau. In recognition of Byrnes' contributions, the Legal Aid Bureau presented Bryan Cave with the first annual Scott Solberg Pro Bono Award. The Scott Solberg Pro Bono Award honors law firms or attorneys who display a strong commitment to the fundamental principles of equal protection and equal justice in the community through outstanding *pro bono* service. The award is named after Scott Solberg, who has served as a board member and chair of the Legal Aid Society and chair of the Society's Pro Bono Committee.

Legal Services of Eastern Missouri 'For the Common Good' Awards 2010: St. Louis Partner and former Senator John Danforth and St. Louis Associate Christan Shelton were honored by Legal Services of Eastern Missouri with For the Common Good Awards. Sen. Danforth received the Robert Walston Chubb Award which is given to individuals who work to provide equal access to justice in our society. Shelton received the John R. Essner Young Lawyer of the Year Award, which is given to newer attorneys who have made a meaningful impact on the lives of LSEM's clients through their *pro bono* work.

Central American Resource Center Commitment to Justice Award 2010: Washington D.C. Associate David Zetoony was presented with the 2010 Commitment to Justice Award for his exceptional contribution and initiative in combating the abuses of the unauthorized practice of law known as "notario fraud." Zetoony and two other honorees were recognized by the Central

"It was a great honor to accept the Scott Solberg Pro Bono Award on behalf of the Bryan Cave Chicago Office. Not only has performing pro bono services for the Legal Aid Society been rewarding, but it has also helped me develop and refine my legal skills to better serve our clients."

- Bryan Cave Chicago Associate **Carrie Byrnes**

Bryan Cave is a charter signatory to the *Pro bono* Institute's Law Firm Pro Bono ChallengeSM, a unique global aspirational *pro bono* standard. The Law Firm Pro Bono ChallengeSM articulates a unitary standard for the world's largest law firms. Charter signatories acknowledge their institutional, firmwide commitment to provide *pro bono* legal services to low income and disadvantaged individuals and families and non-profit groups.

American Resource Center (CARECEN) for their dedication to strengthening their communities by valuing dignity, respect and fairness for the most vulnerable in the Latino community. Zetoony first received attention through his ground-breaking *pro bono* litigation that addressed the problems of legal consulting fraud – a situation in which a person misrepresents him or herself as an attorney to a non-English speaker seeking legal advice. *Argueta v. Mejia* was the first suit of its kind brought in Maryland, Virginia or the District of Columbia and one of the first such suits brought nationally. His work on that project, and subsequent success in leading civil suits in Virginia and Maryland courts, has been recognized by the ABA Commission on Immigration and by various legal publications including *The National Law Journal*, *LawDragon* and the *Daily Business review*.

Foundation for Improvement of Justice Paul H. Chapman Award 2010:

St. Louis Partner Charles Weiss, Counsel Stephen Snodgrass and Associate James Wyrsh were selected by the Foundation for Improvement of Justice to receive its 2010 Paul H. Chapman Award. The award is in recognition of their work in the *pro bono* effort that resulted in the 2009 exoneration of Joshua Kezer, a man who served 16 years in prison for a murder he did not commit. The foundation gives awards to individuals, programs or organizations within the United States for outstanding and successful accomplishments in the improvement of justice.

Volunteer Lawyers Program 'For Love of Justice' Pro bono Awards 2010: Phoenix Partner Jay Zweig and Associates Kyle Hirsch and Robert Reder were recognized for their *pro bono* efforts at the Volunteer Lawyers Program (VLP) 2009-2010 "For Love of Justice" Pro Bono Awards reception in Phoenix. VLP established these awards to honor volunteers who help ensure access to civil justice for people with low incomes. Hirsch was honored as Children's Law Center Attorney of the Year, as well as Attorney of the Month for February. Zweig and Reder were honored as Attorneys of the Month for December. The Volunteer Lawyers Program has united those in need with volunteer attorneys since 1981. VLP is co-sponsored by Community Legal Services and the Maricopa County Bar Association.



American Bar Association's 2010 *Pro bono* Publico Award

Bryan Cave LLP was a recipient of the 2010 American Bar Association's Pro Bono Publico Award. The award was given to Bryan Cave for our ongoing commitment to *pro bono* work. The *Pro bono* Publico Award is given annually to five individual lawyers or law firms that have enhanced the human dignity of others by improving or delivering volunteer legal services to persons of limited means and the organizations that serve them. To be considered for the award, candidates may be nominated by third parties or may nominate themselves. Bryan Cave was nominated by Legal Services of Eastern Missouri and was supported by a number of other *pro bono* organizations the firm has assisted through the years. "We have a special obligation to make our professional skills and other resources available to those who cannot afford to pay for legal services," said Don G. Lents, Bryan Cave's chairman. "Hopefully, this award will draw attention to the need for *pro bono* legal representation for low-income and disadvantaged individuals and families and nonprofit groups." Bryan Cave has a long history of commitment to *pro bono* efforts. Attorneys in the firm's U.S. offices spent nearly 47,000 hours in 2009 performing *pro bono* legal services, up from a little over 36,000 hours in 2008. "The number of hours we spend on *pro bono* matters has risen greatly," Lents said. "More significant than the amount of time, however, is the impact of our work." In 2009, Bryan Cave handled cases that reunited families, revitalized neighborhoods and kept families in their homes. "In a time when grim economic circumstances have rendered more and more people in need of legal assistance just to preserve their most basic rights, Bryan Cave's consistent willingness to provide critical *pro bono* services has been exemplary and makes them a very worthy recipient of this prestigious award," said Daniel K. Glazier, executive director and general counsel of Legal Services of Eastern Missouri.



Bryan Cave Pro Bono Attorneys 2010

The attorneys and legal professionals listed here each recorded more than 50 hours of *pro bono* work in 2010.

Hassan Albakri	Emma L. Dill	Janet M. Joyce	George F. Murphy	Mariangela M. Seale
James M. Altman	Jay M. Dorman	Erik W. Kahn	Ashley H. Nall	Purvi M. Shah
Keith Miles Aurzada	Mahmoud I. Fadlallah	Arindam Kar	Thomas E. Nanney	Christan E. Shelton
Emily S. Barbara	Adeyinka A. Faleti	Keith J. Kehrer	Kelly M. Nash	Dmitry Shifrin
Christopher L. Barbaruolo	Shayna N. Fernandez	John D. Kircher	Rebecca A. D. Nelson	Erin R. Shocklee
Rachel E. Barber Schwartz	Denise F. Fields	Karen A. Knighton	Sharon R. Nowakowski	Beth Simon
Ian L. Barlow	David Firestone	Emily J. Knurek	Daniel T. O'Connor	Jessica J. Smith
John P. Barrie	Ameer Gado	Ricardo A. Kolster	Kristina Oliver	Ivan J. Snyder
Christopher D. Baucom	Gregory J. Galvin	Jacob A. Kramer	William E. Olson	Caroline S. Soodek
William F. Bavinger III	Kathryn E. Gebert	Thomas F. Kreamer	Terrence J. O'Toole	Laura J. Spencer
David G. Beauchamp	James Jay Gibson	Erika S. Labelle	Nikki A. Ott	James M. Staulcup, Jr.
Adam P. Beckerink	James F. Gill	Chris M. LaRocco	Rodney W. Ott	Christopher R. Strianese
John-Paul Benitez	Veronica A. Gioia	Mark B. Leadlove	Jackson C. Pai	Emily K. Strunk
Jennifer L. Berhorst	Jill H. Doverspike Giorgio	Bradley J. Lefkowitz	Amit S. Parekh	Dermot J. Sullivan
Jason R. Berne	Heather S. Goldman	Megan I. Lennox	Matthew Justin Pearce	Vyas Suresh
Ruth Simpson Bhakta	Victoria I. Goldson	Jay J. Levin	John C. Peirce	Amy Thompson
Stephanie A. Blazewicz	John V. Griffin	Michael T. Levin	Margery H. Perlmutter	Gregory D. Trimarche
Ronald J. Bliss	Angela L. Harris	William Linkous Jr.	Matthew M. Petersen	Brian J. Turoff
Steven D. Bloom	Daniel F. Harvath	Bruce E. Lowry	Jason W. Phelps	Wilhemina J. Tyler
Susan G. Blumenthal	Patrice M. Hayden	Rhiana A. Luaders	Jonathan Stuart Pink	Brenton W. Vincent
Howard O. Boltz, Jr.	Michael N. Heaney	Catesby A. Major	Jimmy L. Polk, Jr.	Kip F. Wainscott
Nathan M. Boyce	Leslie Hecht Helmer	James P. Maloney	Kathleen C. Reardon	Krishna A. Walker
Louis J. Boyd	Carrie E. Herrick	William J. Maloney	Robert S. Reder	Brian C. Walsh
Raymond Joseph Burby IV	Walter Herring	Jennifer Kies Mammen	Carolyn K. Brooks Rincon	Jordan M. Ware
Harold R. Burroughs	William J. Hibsher	Karl L. Marschel	Brent E. Roam	Scott E. Wessman
Sara K. Butler	Kyle S. Hirsch	James M. Matthews	Kristin Robinson	James T. Wicks
David A. Calloway	Nikhil J. Hodarkar	Alicia D. McDonald	Andrew S. Rodman	Paul William
Austin V. Campriello	Derek S. Holland	Matthew R. McGraner	Beth Romans Bower	Nick E. Williamson
Michael J. Caruso	Brent Anderson Howard	Philip J. Meitl	Adam J. Rosen	Douglas E. Winter
Stephen R. Casey	Theresa Barrett Hubbard	Linda S. Mensch	Heather Boelens Rucker	Kent D. Wittrock
Frank E. Chaney	Gregory Hummel	Megan E. Meyers	Adriel F. Sanders	James R. Wyrsh
Michael H. Chanan	Sarah Emata Gohl Isabel	Matthew G. Minder	Thomas J. Schell	John F. Young
Lindsay E. Cohen	Oluseyi Iwarere	Elizabeth C. Minogue	Carina H. Schoenberger	Adam Zeidel
Donald A. Cole	Christopher C. Javillonar	Charla Beth R. Mobley	Kevin D. Schopp	David A. Zetoon
Amanda Elaine Colvin	Craig D. Jeffrey	Jeffrey A. Modisett	Eric P. Schroeder	Jay A. Zweig
Jennifer L. Crowder	Martha Joerger	Mary Margaret Moore	Daniel C. Schwartz	
Chad R. DeGroot	Amos N. Jones	Maureen M. Muranaka	Michael A. Schwartz	

Representative Pro Bono Clients 2010

ACLU of the National Capital Area
Alliance for Children's Rights
Animal House Fund
Anti-Defamation League
Atlanta Volunteer Lawyers Foundation
Break the Cycle
Catholic Charities D.C.
Chicago Legal Clinic
Children's Law Center
Chicago Youth Centers
Cross-Lines Cooperative Council
Dallas Volunteer Attorney Program
Domestic Violence Clinic of Santa Monica
Family Equality Council
Habitat for Humanity
Hot Bread Kitchen
Housing Crisis Center
International HIV/AIDS Alliance
Kids in the Middle
Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights
Legal Advocates for Abused Women
Legal Services of Eastern Missouri
Legal Aid of Western Missouri
National Center for Law and Economic Justice
National Center for Missing and Exploited Children
National Immigration Justice Center
Operation Food Search
Servicemembers Legal Defense Network
Team of Advocates for Special Kids
Truancy Intervention Project
Volunteer Lawyers and Accountants for the Arts
Volunteer Lawyers Program of Maricopa County
YWCA of the National Capital Area

Partners bring the experience. Associates bring the energy. Together, it means we continue a strong tradition of giving back to the communities we call home – from one generation to the next

Bryan Cave LLP

Atlanta
Charlotte
Chicago
Dallas
Hamburg
Hong Kong
Irvine
Jefferson City
Kansas City
London
Los Angeles
New York
Paris
Phoenix
San Francisco
Shanghai
St. Louis
Washington, D.C.

Bryan Cave International Consulting LLC

A Trade and Customs Consultancy

Bangkok
Beijing
Jakarta
Kuala Lumpur
Manila
Shanghai
Singapore
Tokyo



A Broader PerspectiveSM

Bryan Cave LLP (www.bryancave.com) has a diversified international legal practice. The firm represents a wide variety of business, financial, institutional and individual clients, including publicly held multinational corporations, large and mid-sized privately held companies, partnerships and emerging companies. Subsidiary Bryan Cave International Consulting provides trade and customs consultancy. Aided by extensive investments in technology, Bryan Cave's more than 1,000 lawyers and other professionals in 25 offices across the United States, the United Kingdom, Continental Europe and Asia efficiently serve clients' needs in the world's key business and financial markets.

www.bryancave.com

© 2011 Bryan Cave LLP. All Rights Reserved.

10-02-008